This article was downloaded by: On: *25 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273



CHROMATOGRAPHY

LIQUID

Determination of Products in Hydroxyl Addition of Phenol Using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography

Ming Y. Ye^{ab}

^a Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana ^b ManTech Environmental Technology, Inc., Ada, OK

To cite this Article Ye, Ming Y.(1992) 'Determination of Products in Hydroxyl Addition of Phenol Using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 15: 5, 875 — 884 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/10826079208018841 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10826079208018841

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

DETERMINATION OF PRODUCTS IN HYDROXYL ADDITION OF PHENOL USING HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

MING Y. YE*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Notre Dame Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

ABSTRACT

Methods for quantification of the products formed in the hydroxyl addition of phenol by reverse phase chromatography are described. The sample was detected by electrochemical detector connected in tandem with UV detector. HPLC analysis indicated that the probabilities (p) for attachment of OH to the para, ortho and meta positions are 11 : 8 : 1. The hydroxyl radical is seen to exhibit a strong preference for addition at the positions activated by the OH group. This preference results from the electrophilic character of hydroxyl radical.

INTRODUCTION

Hydroxyl radicals can react with phenol by addition to the aromatic ring. In neutral and acidic solutions, the hydroxyl adducts are stable. ⁽¹⁾ The

Present address: ManTech Environmental Technology, Inc.,

P.O. Box 1198, Ada, OK 74820

positions of OH radicals attack are dependent on the nature of the substituents on the benzene ring. Using High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to determine the products formed in these reactions provide useful information for synthetic chemistry.

The major products in the hydroxyl addition of phenol are hydroquinone, catechol and resorcinol. The method developed for quantification of these products is reverse phase chromatography with electrochemical and UV detections.

EXPERIMENTAL

a. Gama Radiolysis

The irradiations were carried out inside 2 cylindrical ⁶⁰Co r-ray sources at absorbed dose rates of 1.24×10^{17} and $1.38 \times 10^{18} \text{ eVg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$, respectively. Absorbed doses were determined by reference to the Fricke dosimeter. ⁽²⁾

b. HPLC Analysis

The instrumentation used included a Waters 510 pump, a Rheodyne 7010 injection valve with a 100 ul loop, a Waters Lichrosorb RP-18 radial compression column, an HP 1040A high speed spectrophotometric detection system and a Princeton Applied Research Corporation M400 electrochemical detector. Chromatographic signals and spectra data were stored on flexible disc through HP9121 flexible disc drive then transfered to VAX-780 computer, where the raw data were evaluated.

Irradiated samples were introduced into the ion chromatography and HPLC in a few minutes after completion of the irradiation.

c. Chemicals and sample preparation

The solutions for r-radiolysis were prepared in triply distilled water which was purged of oxygen, saturated with N₂O. Phenol and ferricyanide

was from Aldrich. Chemicals used in the mobile phase of HPLC were acetonitrile and acetic acid from Fisher. The pH measurements were made with an Orion 811 pH meter calibrated with Fisher buffers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Hydroxyl radicals are produced in the radiolysis of N₂O saturated aqueous solution. ⁽³⁾

$$e_{aq}^{-} + N_2O + H_2O \longrightarrow OH + N_2 + OH^{-}$$
 (1)

The yield of hydroxyl radicals depends on the concentration of solutes and the rate constants for the reaction of hydroxyl radicals with the solutes. ⁽¹⁾. ⁽³⁾ In the solutions of 10 mM phenol, the initial yield of hydroxyl radicals is about 5.9. In neutral and acidic solutions ·OH adducts (hydroxylated hydroxycyclohexadienyl radicals) are stable.



Figure 1 shows the chromatogram observed in the radiolysis of 10 mM phenol solution at pH 5.6. Hydroquinone (peak a), resorcinol (peak b) and catechol (peak c) were produced in the irradiated sample with yields of



Figure 1 Chromatogram Observed in Hydroxyl Addition of Phenol

 10^{-2} M phenol solution at pH 5.2 (N₂O saturated) irradiated with ⁶⁰Co r-rays at a dose rate of 2.8 X 10^{16} eV g⁻¹ s⁻¹ of 1.7 x 10^{19} eV g⁻¹. Column: Waters Lichrosorb RP-18 radial compression column. Elution: 2% acetonitrile and 0.2% acetic acid in water. Flow rate: 1 ml/min. Principal peaks are (a) hydroquinone, (b) resorcinol, (c) catechol and (d) phenol.

TABLE 1

YIELDS OF DIHYDROXYBENZENES IN THE REACTION OF OH WITH PHENOL¹

Solute	pН	hydroquinone	catechol	resorcinol
phenol ²	5.6	0.63 (11%)	1.35 (23%)	0.03 (0.5%)
phenol with ³ K ₃ Fe(CN) ₆	5.2	1.73 (29%)	2.49 (42%)	0.31 (5%)

- The numbers in parentheses are yields per ·OH produced, based on G(·OH) = 5.9.
- 2. 10 mM phenol at pH 5.6 saturated with N₂O.
- 10 mM phenol with 1 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆ at pH 5.2 saturated with N₂O.

0.63, 0.03 and 1.35 (Table 1), amounting to 11, 0.5 and 23% of G(OH), respectively.

The total yield of three products is 2.01, which accounts for 34% of OH radicals initially produced. Ferricyanide can be used to oxidize hydroxycyclohexadienyl to dihydroxybenzene. ^{(1), (4)} In the presence of 1 mM ferricyanide, at pH 5.2, as illustrated by the chromatogram in Figure 2, one observes that the signals of hydroquinone and catechol double and the signal of resorcinol increases about 10 times. The spectra of hydroquinone, catechol and resorcinol observed in the irradiation are shown in Figure 3. The yields of these three products are hydroquinone, 1.73, catechol, 2.49, resorcinol, 0.31, amounting to 29%, 42% and 5% of G(·OH), respectively



Figure 2 Chromatogram Observed in Hydroxyl Addition of Phenol with Ferricyanide

 10^{-2} M phenol solution with 1 mM ferricyanide at pH 5.2 (N₂O saturated) irradiated with ⁶⁰Co r-rays at a dose rate of 2.8 x10¹⁶ eV g⁻¹ s⁻¹ of 1.7 x 10¹⁹ eV g⁻¹. HPLC conditions were described in Figure 1. Principal peaks are (I) ferricyanide, (a) hydroquinone, (b) resorcinol, (c) catechol and (d) phenol.



Figure 3 Absorption Spectra of Hydroquinone, Catechol, and Resorcinol

Hydroquinone (------), catechol (-----) and resorcinol (- \cdot - \cdot -) Spectra were recorded during the course of chromatographic analysis.

(Table 1). The sum of the yield of hydroquinone and catechol accounts for 72% of G(\cdot OH), which shows that the addition of hydroxyl radicals to the ortho and para sites are the main processes in the oxidation of phenol by \cdot OH. Thus the probabilities (p) for attachment of OH to the para, ortho and meta positions is 11 : 8 : 1. The OH radical is seen to exhibit a strong preference for addition at the positions activated by the OH group. This preference results from the electrophilic character ⁽⁵⁾ of \cdot OH. A complete material balance is obtained if it is assumed that 23% of the OH radicals



Figure 4 Electrochemical Signals of Hydroquinone and Benzoquinone

Electrode: Glassy carbon. Oxidation potential applied: 650 mV. Reduction potential applied: -500 mV.

react at the ipso position of phenol. Addition at this position is expected to be followed rapidly by elimination of water to yield phenoxyl radical. It is noted that the yields of both hydroquinone and catechol in the pure phenol solution were less than half in comparison to that in the presence of ferricyanide. The explanation of this difference is that part of dihydroxycyclohexadienyl radicals formed in the absence of ferricyanide undergoes elimination of water. The yield of resorcinol is extremly low in the absence of ferricyanide, which indicates that

3-hydroxy-hydroxycyclohexadienyl radical is relatively unstable.

Dihydroxybenzenes were not observed in any significant yield in the radiolysis of phenol solution at basic solution, pH about 11. Presumably, most of the dihydroxycyclohexadienyl radicals form phenoxyl radicals at basic as the result of rapid elimination of OH⁻.

The experiment was also carried out in 10 mM phenol solution with 0.1 M NaN₃ at pH 5.6. There are no dihydroxybenzenes found in the solution. The result confirms that azide radicals react with phenol only by electron transfer. $^{(6)}$

In neutral and basic solutions, hydroquinone, catechol and resorcinol are rapidly oxidized by dissovled oxygen to benzoquinone. ⁽¹⁾



The mobile phase was 0.2% acetic acid and 2% acetonitrile, and was purged with ultra high purity nitrogen. The standard solutions of hydroquinone, catechol, resorcinol and benzoquinone were prepared with 3% of acetic acid solution, purged with ultra high purity nitrogen and kept in a refugerator (4 °C). An electrochemical detector has high sensitivity towards hydroquinone and benzoquinone. Figure 3 shows the oxidation signal of hydroquinone (7 uM) and reduction signal of benzoquinone (12 uM). Since the mobile phase used is 0.5% acetic acid aqueous solution which is electrically conductive, no additional buffer was added.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research described herein was supported by the office of Basic Energy Science of the Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ye, M.; Schuler, R.H., J. Liquid Chromat., 13, 3369, 1990.
- 2. Weiss, J; Allen, A.O.; Schwartz, H.A., International Conference on Peaceful uses of Atomic Energy, 14, 179,1955.
- 3. Ye, M.; Schuler, R.H., J.Phys. Chem., 93, 1898, 1989.
- 4. Raghavan, N. V.; Steenken, S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 3495, 1980.
- 5. Schuler, R. H., J. Phys. Chem. 91, 4591, 1987.
- Alfassi, Z.B.; Schuler, R.H., J. Phys. Chem., 89, 3359, 1985.